

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
NASHVILLE DIVISION

VALERIA TANCO and SOPHY JESTY, et al., )  
)  
Plaintiffs, )  
)  
vs. )  
)  
WILLIAM EDWARD "BILL" HASLAM, )  
as Governor of the State of Tennessee, )  
et al., )  
)  
Defendants. )

Case No. 3:13-cv-01159  
Trauger/Griffin

---

**DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

---

Plaintiffs have moved for entry of a final order and permanent injunction, and they have submitted a proposed order. Defendants agree that the decision of the United States Supreme Court in *Obergefell v. Hodges*, 135 S.Ct. 2584 (2015), warrants the entry of a final order and permanent injunction in this case. Plaintiffs filed this action arguing that the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution requires the State of Tennessee to recognize their out-of-state marriages, and they have won that argument, fair and square. The Supreme Court held in *Obergefell* that "there is no lawful basis for a State to refuse to recognize a lawful same-sex marriage performed in another State on the ground of its same-sex character." 135 S.Ct. at 2608. But Defendants urge the Court to adopt Defendants' proposed order (attached) and not the one Plaintiffs have submitted. While the differences between the two orders are few, they are significant; Defendants' proposed order more faithfully reflects the scope of the relief Plaintiffs

sought in this case and the extent of the holding in *Obergefell*.<sup>1</sup>

Specifically, Plaintiffs sought declaratory relief only with respect to the Tennessee laws that “purport[] to *deny recognition*” to out-of-state same-sex marriages. (Doc. 1, Complaint at 36, ¶ No. 1 (emphasis added)) Plaintiffs did not seek a declaration that under the Fourteenth Amendment “a state may not exclude same-sex couples from civil marriage.” (Doc. 86-1, Plaintiffs’ Proposed Order at 2, ¶ No. 1) Nor did Plaintiffs seek the wholesale invalidation of Tenn. Const. art. XI, § 18, and Tenn. Code Ann. § 36-3-113. (Doc. 86-1, Plaintiffs’ Proposed Order at 2, ¶ No. 2) Indeed, the Supreme Court itself invalidated the marriage laws challenged in *Obergefell* only “to the extent they exclude same-sex couples . . . on the same terms and conditions as opposite-sex couples.” *See* 135 S.Ct. at 2607.

While Defendants cannot dispute that Plaintiffs are prevailing parties for purposes of 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b) (Doc. 86-1, Plaintiffs’ Proposed Order at 2 ¶ No. 4), it is simply incorrect for Plaintiffs to say that “[a]ll issues have been decided in Plaintiffs’ favor.” (Doc. 86, Motion at 2) Plaintiffs’ complaint included seven separate counts, most of which have been pretermitted by the decision in *Obergefell*. Any prevailing-party finding must therefore preserve for Defendants at least the opportunity to raise objections to Plaintiffs’ forthcoming application for attorneys’ fees, costs, and expenses.

## CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, the Defendants request that the Court enter their proposed order (attached).

<sup>1</sup> Defendants agree that discussions between the parties failed to produce an agreement (Doc. 86, Motion at 2), but that is because Plaintiffs ultimately rejected Defendants’ proposed order.

What else could these two sentences mean other than the court cannot enjoin enforcement of the constitutional provisions on marriage in their entirety?

Respectfully submitted,

HERBERT H. SLATERY III .  
Attorney General and Reporter

s/Martha A. Campbell  
MARTHA A. CAMPBELL #14022  
Deputy Attorney General  
General Civil Division  
Cordell Hull Building, Second Floor  
P. O. Box 20207  
Nashville, TN 37214  
(615) 741-6420  
martha.campbell@ag.tn.gov

s/Kevin G. Steiling  
KEVIN G. STEILING #10631  
Deputy Attorney General  
Civil Litigation and State Services Division  
Cordell Hull Building, Second Floor  
P. O. Box 20207  
Nashville, TN 37214  
(615) 741-2370  
kevin.steiling@ag.tn.gov

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 11, 2015, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to all parties indicated on the electronic filing receipt. Parties may access this filing through the Court's electronic filing system.

Abby R. Rubenfeld # 6645  
2409 Hillsboro Road, Suite 200  
Nashville, Tennessee 37212  
(615) 386-9077  
arubinfeld@rubenfeldlaw.com

William L. Harbison # 7012  
Phillip F. Cramer # 20697  
J. Scott Hickman # 17407  
John L. Farringer IV # 22783  
SHERRARD & ROE, PLC  
150 3<sup>rd</sup> Avenue South, Suite 1100  
Nashville, Tennessee 37201  
(615) 742-4200  
bharbison@sherrardrod.com  
pcramer@sherrardroe.com  
shickman@sherrardroe.com  
jfarringer@sherrardroe.com

Maureen T. Holland # 15202  
HOLLAND AND ASSOCIATES, PLLC  
1429 Madison Avenue  
Memphis, Tennessee 38104-6314  
(901) 278-8120  
mtholland@aol.com

Regina M. Lambert # 21567  
7010 Stone Mill Drive  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37919  
(865) 679-3483  
lambertregina@yahoo.com

Shannon P. Minter  
Christopher F. Stoll  
Asaf Orr  
NATIONAL CENTER FOR LESBIAN RIGHTS  
870 Market Street, Suite 370  
San Francisco, California 94102  
(415) 392-6257

sminter@nclrights.org  
cstoll@nclrights.org  
aorr@nclrights.org

s/ Martha A. Campbell  
MARTHA A. CAMPBELL  
TBPR# 14022  
Office of the Attorney General  
P.O. Box 20207  
Nashville, TN 37202  
(615) 741-6420